

Summary of scores

Cluster scores are simple averages of the standards beneath them; the composite index is the simple average of the four cluster scores, each weighted 1, in line with Step 5b of the methodology.

Standard	Score	Rating
Cluster A — Institutional and Political Environment and Narratives of CSOs	2.6	cluster average
A1 Equal treatment	2	Restrictive leaning
A2 Political recognition as partners	3	Mostly enabling
A3 Refrained authorities from smearing	3	Mostly enabling
A4 No weaponization of state powers and good governance principles	2	Restrictive leaning
A5 Transparent and open public institutions	3	Mostly enabling
Cluster B — Legal and Financial Framework for CSOs	2.8	cluster average
B1 Free establishment and operation	3	Mostly enabling
B2 CSOs enjoy a safe space and protection from arbitrariness	3	Mostly enabling
B3 Liberality of resourcing	3	Mostly enabling
B4 Enabling fiscal environment	2	Restrictive leaning
B5 Free expressions of criticism	3	Mostly enabling
Cluster C — Public Engagement and Perceptions towards the Work of CSOs	2.4	cluster average
C1 Citizens' trust	3	Mostly enabling
C2 Pluralistic presentation in media	2	Restrictive leaning
C3 Free engagement	3	Mostly enabling
C4 CSOs embedded in communities	2	Restrictive leaning
C5 Freedom of assembly	2	Restrictive leaning
Cluster D — CSOs as Policy Makers	2.8	cluster average
D1 Right to participate in decision-making including in the policy cycle	3	Mostly enabling
D2 Timely consultations and equal participation	2	Restrictive leaning
D3 Policies encourage cooperation with CSOs	3	Mostly enabling
D4 Free advocacy and watchdogging	3	Mostly enabling
D5 Government listens	3	Mostly enabling
COMPOSITE INDEX (average of cluster scores)	2.65	between restrictive leaning and mostly enabling